

# Developmental Relationships Survey Results for

## Norwalk Public Schools

June 23rd, 2021



Prepared by:



*Discovering what kids need to succeed*

# Introduction

This report is based on your young peoples' responses to the Developmental Relationships Survey. The report was prepared by Search Institute, a non-profit organization that partners with schools, youth programs, and other organizations to conduct and apply research that promotes positive youth development and advances equity. Search Institute generates new knowledge through mixed-methods studies and develops and delivers workshops, surveys, and other resources that enable practitioners and parents to understand and act on the science of youth development.

In this report, you will find actionable data on developmental relationships, social and emotional competencies, and equitable practices. We hope that these data will help you make the case that developmental relationships are the lever through which we can advance social and emotional competence and create more equitable environments where all young people are equipped to continue on their paths to thrive.



Search Institute  
3001 Broadway Street Northeast, Suite 310  
Minneapolis, MN 55413  
1-800-888-7828  
[info@searchinstitute.org](mailto:info@searchinstitute.org)  
[www.searchinstitute.org](http://www.searchinstitute.org)

Copyright © 2021 by Search Institute. All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced in any manner whatsoever, mechanical, digital, or electronic, without prior permission from the publisher, except in brief quotations or summaries in articles or reviews, or as follows:

The titled organization or its designee may reproduce and distribute this report in its entirety for informational and educational purposes only.

The titled organization or its designee may reproduce or adapt findings from this report to other formats (including, but not limited to, brochures, websites, and presentations), provided Search Institute is acknowledged as the source of the information.

# Table of Contents

	<b>Page</b>
<a href="#"><u>Introduction</u></a>	<b>1</b>
<a href="#"><u>Table of Contents</u></a>	<b>2</b>
<a href="#"><u>What are Developmental Relationships</u></a>	<b>3</b>
<a href="#"><u>Developmental Relationships Framework</u></a>	<b>4</b>
<a href="#"><u>Description of Your Study &amp; Sample</u></a>	<b>5</b>
<a href="#"><u>Demographics</u></a>	<b>6</b>
<a href="#"><u>How to Read the Report &amp; Interpret Results</u></a>	<b>8</b>
<a href="#"><u>Core Measure 1: Developmental Relationships</u></a>	<b>10</b>
<a href="#"><u>Core Measure 2: Social and Emotional Competencies</u></a>	<b>15</b>
<a href="#"><u>Core Measure 3: Equitable Practices</u></a>	<b>17</b>
<a href="#"><u>Additional Measures: Outcomes</u></a>	<b>19</b>
<a href="#"><u>Outcomes: DFC Four Core Measures</u></a>	<b>20</b>
<a href="#"><u>Additional Measures: Current Events</u></a>	<b>25</b>
<a href="#"><u>Current Events: COVID-19</u></a>	<b>26</b>
<a href="#"><u>Current Events: Racial Injustice</u></a>	<b>29</b>

## What are Developmental Relationships

Over the past decade, Search Institute has conducted a multi-year effort to better understand the role relationships play in positive youth development. This work has led to extensive literature reviews and numerous qualitative and quantitative studies to inform our understanding of the power of relationships young people have with parenting adults, educators, and youth workers (e.g., mentors, program staff, among others). It is through this work that Search Institute has defined these high-quality relationships as “developmental relationships.” Developmental relationships are close connections through which young people discover who they are (identity), gain abilities to share their own lives (agency), and learn how to interact with and contribute to the world around them (committed to their community).






Developmental relationships are characterized by five interconnected elements (with specific actions within each): express care, challenge growth, provide support, share power, and expand possibilities. To date, Search Institute’s research has shown that when young people experience developmental relationships with caring adults, they tend to report a wide range of positive outcomes including social-emotional strengths (Syvertsen et al., 2015), increased resiliency (Roehlkepartain et al., 2017), and greater academic motivation (Scales et al., 2019).

Just as a system of roots supports and nourishes trees as they develop and grow, nurturing relationships with adults provide a foundation for young people’s development by offering them guidance, encouragement, and new opportunities. Cultivating strong roots nourishes young people and supports their development and growth while creating a foundation of stability.



# The Developmental Relationships Framework

Young people are more likely to grow up successfully when they experience developmental relationships with important people in their lives. Developmental relationships are close connections through which young people discover who they are, cultivate abilities to shape their own lives, and learn how to engage with and contribute to the world around them. Search Institute has identified five elements—expressed in 20 specific actions—that make relationships powerful in young people’s lives.

Elements	Actions	Definitions
 <p><b>Express Care</b> Show me that I matter to you.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Be dependable</li> <li>• Listen</li> <li>• Believe in me</li> <li>• Be warm</li> <li>• Encourage</li> </ul>	<p>Be someone I can trust. Really pay attention when we are together. Make me feel known and valued. Show me you enjoy being with me. Praise me for my efforts and achievements.</p>
 <p><b>Challenge Growth</b> Push me to keep getting better.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Expect my best</li> <li>• Stretch</li> <li>• Hold me accountable</li> <li>• Reflect on failures</li> </ul>	<p>Expect me to live up to my potential. Push me to go further. Insist I take responsibility for my actions. Help me learn from mistakes and setbacks.</p>
 <p><b>Provide Support</b> Help me complete tasks and achieve goals.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Navigate</li> <li>• Empower</li> <li>• Advocate</li> <li>• Set boundaries</li> </ul>	<p>Guide me through hard situations and systems. Build my confidence to take charge of my life. Stand up for me when I need it. Put limits in place that keep me on track.</p>
 <p><b>Share Power</b> Treat me with respect and give me a say.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Respect me</li> <li>• Include me</li> <li>• Collaborate</li> <li>• Let me lead</li> </ul>	<p>Take me seriously and treat me fairly. Involve me in decisions that affect me. Work with me to solve problems and reach goals. Create opportunities for me to take action and lead.</p>
 <p><b>Expand Possibilities</b> Connect me with people and places that broaden my world.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Inspire</li> <li>• Broaden horizons</li> <li>• Connect</li> </ul>	<p>Inspire me to see possibilities for my future. Expose me to new ideas, experiences, and places. Introduce me to people who can help me grow.</p>

NOTE: Relationships are, by definition, bidirectional, with each person giving and receiving. So each person in a strong relationship both engages in and experiences each of these actions. However, for the purpose of clarity, this framework is expressed from the perspective of one young person.

Copyright © 2020 Search Institute, Minneapolis, MN. www.searchinstitute.org. May be reproduced for nonprofit, educational use.

[Download a PDF of the framework](#)

## Description of Your Study & Sample

Search Institute's Developmental Relationships Survey was used for this study. This survey is designed for young people in grades 4 through 12+ and is typically administered online independently or in a group setting. Some young people may choose to use the included audio prompts to assist with comprehension. Standardized administration procedures were provided to staff who administered the survey to enhance the quality of the data.

The specific characteristics of your survey participants are shown in the table and waffle charts on the next two pages. When reviewing demographic data in this report, it is important to note that survey participants self-reported on each of these attributes. As such, it may not entirely align with your own demographic data. Understanding who participated is vital for appropriate interpretation and application. If your sample reflects the population you seek to engage, then you can have more confidence that the results will be broadly applicable. If your sample does not match your target population, you will need to consider these differences when interpreting the findings.

This report will only show results for samples of at least 30 young people. This reduces the risk that results on particular young people will be singled out and discussed, particularly in public settings. In addition, results from very small samples of young people should not be applied more broadly, nor should assumptions be made that their perspectives are generalizable or transferrable to larger groups. Their results may be true for them, but there may not be enough young people in the sample to account for measurement and sampling errors.

### Discussion Questions

- ① What worked with the survey administration? What challenges were present? How can we avoid these problems going forward?
- ① How did youth respond to being asked to complete the survey? Were staff and young people sufficiently aware of the survey's purpose?
- ① In what ways does the sample in your survey reflect (or not reflect) the young people you seek to hear from as you develop your priorities and action plans?
- ① What characteristics of the survey sample make it particularly valuable? (For example, perhaps there is a subgroup included that you haven't heard from before. Or perhaps it includes young people who participate regularly but don't always speak up.)
- ① If your study does not include all the perspectives of young people you would want to include, how might you engage those additional voices moving forward? (This might include asking them to be part of the study interpretation and planning process.)

# Demographics Tables


## Youth Demographics Sample Size

Total	2,550
<b>Age</b>	
<10	4
10	1
11	6
12	251
13	613
14	501
15	394
16	350
17	219
18	120
19+	25
<b>Grade</b>	
Not enrolled	0
Grade 4	0
Grade 5	1
Grade 6	7
Grade 7	583
Grade 8	600
Grade 9	416
Grade 10	395
Grade 11	279
Grade 12	179
Post-secondary	0
<b>Gender</b>	
Girl	1,218
Boy	1,199
Other	81
<b>Race</b>	
Asian/Pacific Islander	97
Black	280
Hispanic/Latinx	1,097
Native American	4
White	614
Other	64
Multiracial	341

# Demographics Charts

The following waffle charts contain additional descriptive information about your young people who participated in the survey.


**4% experienced financial strain**




**16% identify as LGBTQIA+**




**19% are in ESL/ELL programming**




**4% experienced food insecurity**



**4% experienced shelter insecurity**



**8% have an IEP**





## How to Read the Report & Interpret Results

This report offers unique information on your young people and their experiences with your organization. It emphasizes the relationships and equitable practices that are essential for young people to develop the social and emotional competencies critical for success in life. These data are meant to guide schools and youth-serving organizations to put in place research-informed strategies to boost their intentional practices in building developmental relationships.

This report provides information from several angles. We recommend you review your results in several stages that allow you to both see the broad context and focus on specific issues, needs, gaps, or priorities. As you do this, consider the following:

1. Examine the broadest findings, such as the overall scores for each category of developmental relationships and social and emotional competencies. This high-level review will give you an overall sense of what is happening with your young people. Note any clear patterns, surprises, or questions you see.
2. Pay attention to both averages and distribution. The report shows both average scores, which gives you a sense of your young people overall, and the “distribution” of scores, which shows the percentages of young people who scored low and high in each area. These different groups are referred to as “Weak,” “Moderate,” and “Strong” within this report.
3. Access the online dashboard to examine the data for subgroups of young people, particularly those that are most relevant to your work. Do you see surprises or meaningful differences that need further exploration?
4. Focus on data in which you and your organization have a particular stake. You may have goals related to specific indicators. Reflect on how they either align with or offer a counterpoint to the general patterns you noticed earlier.

These data are best examined in conversation with multiple stakeholders, including young people, staff, parenting adults, positional leaders, and others—all of whom will bring different perspectives to the interpretation. If you do not already have a diverse guiding team for your planning process, convene one or more groups to work together to internalize, interpret, and then use the data for change. This process will build shared understanding and commitment to actions you ultimately take based on the findings.

You will note that this report does not have “norm” data to which to make comparisons. Though it can be interesting to compare your own results to others, Search Institute’s experience in working with schools and other organizations is that it can be distracting or counterproductive. Your population can be quite different from those on which national norms are based, making it difficult to interpret differences. Furthermore, the most important context is your local context, your shared priorities, and the gaps between your current realities and your targets for growth. In other words, the most relevant comparison is to your hopes, aspirations, and goals for your young people. Thus, we encourage emphasizing the local context as a comparison point, rather than focusing on comparing your data to other organizations.

It is important to remember that these survey data represent just one source of information. No single source of information—no matter how valid—can tell the whole story about how your young people are doing. For those reasons, among others, these data should not be used for accountability purposes. It is important to review these data (like all information and data) critically and in context of other information, experience, and research. If it reinforces other data points, you can have more confidence in it. If it contradicts other findings or perspectives, dig deeper to understand the reason for the differences.

As you look at the detailed tables of data, it can be tempting to over-interpret small differences between groups of young people. A difference between scores that may look meaningful, might not reach a level of statistical significance. That is, small differences may be due to measurement error. Small differences are less likely to be significant with smaller samples.

With these general guidelines in mind, we believe you will find important results, patterns, and insights in this report that offer a fresh perspective on how young people are experiencing the essential relationships and equitable practices that matter to their success.

This report is structured into three core sections: Developmental Relationships, Social and Emotional Competencies, and Equitable Practices. Additional sections may be present depending on your organization's customizations.

### **How are average scores calculated?**

Each survey item has four response choices, scored on a scale from 1-4. Individual item scores are added together then divided by the total number of items. The resulting number (1-4) is then converted to a 0-100 range with the following formula  $((x-1)*100)/3$  to assist with interpretation.

### **How are responses distributed?**

Scores are shown in three levels. These three levels are: weak (scores of 0-33 that reflect responses of the first two response options), moderate (scores of 33.33-66.33 that reflect the third response option), and strong (scores of 66.67-100 that reflect the fourth response option). From this, you may identify areas where young people are either particularly high or low, which may not always be evident from the average score. In our pilot studies, young people who reported having higher developmental relationship scores typically had better youth development outcomes.

### **As you make meaning of the data, follow a reflective process with the following questions:**

- ① What stands out? We recommend that you start by naming objective stats (e.g. Express Care was our strongest element with a score of 80).
- ② What encourages you? What discourages you?
- ③ What surprises you? What is not clear?
- ④ What is the importance of this?
- ⑤ What may have led to this result?
- ⑥ What action ideas come to mind? Note that actions may come in different forms (e.g. things we should do more often or less often; things that should be kept the same; making something more widely available or systematic).


# Core Measure 1: Developmental Relationships

Developmental relationships are close connections through which young people discover who they are (identity), gain abilities to share their own lives (agency), and learn how to interact with and contribute to the world around them (committed to their community).

Developmental relationships are characterized by five interconnected elements (with specific actions within each): express care, challenge growth, provide support, share power, and expand possibilities. To date, Search Institute’s research has shown that when young people experience developmental relationships with caring adults, they tend to report a wide range of positive outcomes including social-emotional strengths (Syvertsen et al., 2015), increased resiliency (Roehlkepartain et al., 2017), and greater academic motivation (Scales et al., 2019).

In this chapter, we will explore young people’s experiences of developmental relationships and the five elements with the adults at Norwalk Public Schools.


Youth generally reported experiencing **moderate** developmental relationships overall.




**Note:** The red bar indicates the score from your previous administration of the survey. If this is your time 1 survey, then it will reflect the minimum benchmark for a “strong” score.

Youth **reported a gap in their relational experiences** versus how adults described their own relational actions during the survey pilot.


■ Adults in Pilot Survey  
■ Your Youth



Overall, **37%** of youth had strong experiences of developmental relationships. Conversely, **9%** had weak experiences.




### Youth reported different experiences of each of the 20 actions in the Developmental Relationships Framework.




Youth reported a gap in moderate/strong Express Care actions vs how adults described their actions during the survey pilot.

■ Adults in Pilot Survey  
■ Your Youth




Youth reported a gap in moderate/strong Challenge Growth actions vs how pilot survey adults described their actions.

■ Adults in Pilot Survey  
■ Your Youth




Youth reported a gap in moderate/strong Provide Support actions vs how pilot survey adults described their actions.

■ Adults in Pilot Survey  
■ Your Youth




Youth reported a gap in moderate/strong Share Power actions vs how adults described their actions during the survey pilot.

■ Adults in Pilot Survey  
■ Your Youth



Youth reported a gap in moderate/strong Expand Possibilities actions vs how pilot survey adults described their actions.

■ Adults in Pilot Survey  
■ Your Youth




## Core Measure 2: Social and Emotional Competencies

The Collaborative for Academic, Social, and Emotional Learning defines social and emotional learning (SEL) as the process through which young people understand and manage emotions, set and achieve positive goals, feel and show empathy for others, establish and maintain positive relationships, and make responsible decisions (CASEL, 2017). Their framework<sup>1</sup> includes five competencies: Self-Awareness, Self-Management, Responsible Decision-Making, Relationship Skills, and Social Awareness.

In this chapter, we will explore young people's social and emotional competence. This section is customizable, and your organization chose the following option: An overall score for Social and Emotional Competencies along with scores for each individual competency.

Overall, youth reported **strong** levels of social and emotional competence.




**Note:** The red bar indicates the score from your previous administration of the survey. If this is your time 1 survey, then it will reflect the minimum benchmark for a “strong” score.


<sup>1</sup> ©CASEL 2017. The five social and emotional learning (SEL) competencies were developed and defined by the Collaborative for Academic, Social, and Emotional Learning (CASEL). For more information, visit <https://casel.org/core-competencies/>



Overall, **50%** of youth reported **strong** social and emotional competence. Conversely, **5%** reported **weak** social and emotional competence.



Overall, youth who experienced **stronger levels of developmental relationships** had **stronger social and emotional competence scores**.




# Core Measure 3: Equitable Practices

Whether young people experience their school and program culture as welcoming and inclusive has a direct impact on their experience, and the positive (or negative) repercussions of the experience. The measures in this survey examine how young people experience diversity, equity, and inclusion (DEI) in their schools, OST, and student support programs.


In this chapter, we will explore young people’s perceptions of diversity, equity, and inclusion. This section is customizable, and your organization chose the following options: A single overall score for your Organization’s Culturally Responsive Environment.

Youth generally reported that the organization had a **moderate** culturally responsive environment.




**Note:** The red bar indicates the score from your previous administration of the survey. If this is your time 1 survey, then it will reflect the minimum benchmark for a “strong” score.


Here is a breakdown of how your youth and pilot study adults viewed their organization's culturally responsive environment:



Here is a breakdown of how your youth and pilot study adults felt when asked whether or not the adults reflect the diversity of the youth:



Youth who reported that the organization had a **strong culturally responsive environment** had **stronger social and emotional competence scores**.



## Additional Measures: Outcomes

In this chapter, we will explore the optional outcome modules that your organization opted to include. This section is customizable, and your organization chose the following options: Drug Free Communities – Four Core Measures.

# Outcomes: DFC Four Core Measures

## Drug-Free Communities – Four Core Measures

Young people are increasingly exposed to negative behaviors and opportunities for risk-taking. Those who experience low levels of developmental relationships and high levels of developmental deficit conditions are particularly vulnerable. In this section, you'll find data describing four core measures related to young people's use of alcohol, tobacco, prescription drugs, and marijuana. These data can be used to meet Drug-Free Communities (DFC) grantee reporting requirements established by the Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA).

This information is invaluable not only to your efforts to educate the community and develop an action plan for reducing substance use, associated risk behaviors, and deficit factors but also as a basis for strengthening protective factors critical to ensuring that your youth thrive.

The four core measures consist of:

- The percentage of youth who report using alcohol, tobacco, marijuana, or prescription drugs at least once in the 30 days immediately preceding the survey date.
- The percentage of youth who report that their parents feel that substance use is wrong.
- The percentage of youth who report that their friends feel substance use is wrong.
- The percentage of youth who think there is a risk in the use of these substances.

## Past 30-Day Use of Alcohol, Tobacco, Marijuana, Prescription Drugs, and Vaping

Category	Definition	Total Sample	Gender		Grade							
			F	M	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
<b>Alcohol</b>	Used alcohol once or more in the past 30 days	12	12	11			8	9	11	12	19	23
<b>Tobacco</b>	Smoked cigarettes once or more in the past 30 days	1	1	1			1	2	1	2	0	1
<b>Marijuana</b>	Used marijuana once or more in the past 30 days	4	4	3			1	3	3	5	5	12
<b>Prescription Drugs</b>	Used prescription drugs once or more in the past 30 days	2	1	2			2	2	2	1	2	3
<b>Vaping</b>	Vaped tobacco, nicotine, or marijuana once or more in the past 30 days	6	7	4			3	5	4	6	10	15

## Youth Perception of Parental Disapproval of Alcohol, Tobacco, Marijuana, Prescription Drug Use, and Vaping

Category	Definition	Total Sample	Gender		Grade							
			F	M	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
<b>Alcohol</b>	Drink regularly	94	95	93			95	93	95	95	91	88
<b>Tobacco</b>	Smoke tobacco	97	98	97			98	97	98	98	97	95
<b>Marijuana</b>	Use marijuana	93	94	94			97	95	93	94	88	82
<b>Prescription Drugs</b>	Used prescription drugs not prescribed to you	96	97	96			97	96	95	96	96	93
<b>Vaping</b>	Vape tobacco, nicotine, or marijuana	96	96	96			98	96	95	97	95	89

Values for one or more cells were suppressed due to fewer than 10 youth in that category responding.

## Youth Perception of Peer Disapproval of Alcohol, Tobacco, Marijuana, Prescription Drugs, and Vaping

Category	Definition	Total Sample	Gender		Grade							
			F	M	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
<b>Alcohol</b>	Drink regularly	79	79	80			88	83	76	76	68	64
<b>Tobacco</b>	Smoke tobacco	87	88	88			92	91	88	86	84	74
<b>Marijuana</b>	Use marijuana	77	75	80			92	85	75	71	59	48
<b>Prescription Drugs</b>	Used prescription drugs not prescribed to you	89	90	89			91	90	90	88	86	84
<b>Vaping</b>	Vape tobacco, nicotine, or marijuana	78	76	81			89	84	76	72	64	59

## Youth Perception of Risk of Alcohol, Tobacco, Marijuana, Prescription Drug Use, and Vaping

Category	Definition	Total Sample	Gender		Grade							
			F	M	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
<b>Alcohol</b>	Five or more drinks once or twice a week	77	79	76			79	75	75	78	80	80
<b>Tobacco</b>	One or more packs of cigarettes per day	85	87	84			85	83	85	89	89	83
<b>Marijuana</b>	Use marijuana once or twice a week	65	67	64			77	69	64	60	52	52
<b>Prescription Drugs</b>	Used prescription drugs that are not prescribed to them	86	87	85			82	83	89	88	91	86
<b>Vaping</b>	Vape tobacco, nicotine, or marijuana	78	78	77			82	77	76	79	73	75

Values for one or more cells were suppressed due to fewer than 10 youth in that category responding.

## Four Core Measures Data Summary

		Past 30-Day Use					Perception of Risk				
		Alc	Tob	Mar	Pre	Vape	Alc	Tob	Mar	Pre	Vape
<b>Total Sample</b>	*%	12	1.3	4	2	5.8	77.3	85.3	65.1	85.8	77.6
	n	294	32	97	49	141	1878	2066	1580	2081	1882
	N	2441	2440	2429	2430	2434	2430	2422	2426	2424	2424
<b>Female</b>	*%	12.4	1	4	1.4	6.8	79	87	66.5	87.2	78.2
	n	144	12	46	16	79	916	1008	771	1011	905
	N	1164	1162	1156	1156	1158	1160	1158	1159	1159	1158
<b>Male</b>	*%	11	0.8	3.1	1.7	4.2	76.1	83.6	64.3	84.6	77.1
	n	126	9	36	20	48	870	951	734	964	879
	N	1149	1150	1146	1147	1148	1143	1138	1141	1139	1140
<b>Not Enrolled</b>	*%										
	n										
	N										
<b>4</b>	*%										
	n										
	N										
<b>5</b>	*%										
	n										
	N										
<b>6</b>	*%										
	n										
	N										
<b>7</b>	*%	7.7	0.9	1.4	2.3	2.5	79.2	85.1	76.7	82.4	82.2
	n	43	5	8	13	14	441	473	427	458	457
	N	561	562	558	558	556	557	556	557	556	556
<b>8</b>	*%	9.2	1.9	3.1	2.4	5.4	74.6	82.6	68.6	83.5	76.7
	n	53	11	18	14	31	429	475	394	480	440
	N	577	576	574	575	576	575	575	574	575	574
<b>9</b>	*%	11.1	1	3.3	1.5	4.3	75.1	85.5	63.7	88.5	76
	n	44	4	13	6	17	295	335	249	347	298
	N	395	395	394	392	394	393	392	391	392	392
<b>10</b>	*%	12.2	1.6	5.1	0.5	5.6	78.2	89.1	59.6	88	78.7
	n	46	6	19	2	21	294	334	224	331	295
	N	378	377	375	377	378	376	375	376	376	375
<b>11</b>	*%	19.5	0.4	5.2	2.2	10.1	80.3	88.8	51.9	91.4	73.1
	n	52	1	14	6	27	216	237	139	243	196
	N	267	268	268	268	268	269	267	268	266	268
<b>12</b>	*%	23.4	1.2	12.3	2.9	15.1	79.5	82.9	52.3	86	74.9
	n	40	2	21	5	26	136	141	90	147	128
	N	171	172	171	170	172	171	170	172	171	171
<b>Post Secondary</b>	*%										
	n										
	N										

**Note:**

% -- In this table, the rows marked with a percent sign (%) reflect percentages of youth who meet the criteria appropriate to the particular column for Past 30-Day Use, Perception of Risk, Perception of Parental Disapproval, and Perception of Peer Disapproval.

n -- Rows marked with a lower case n report the number of students who meet the criteria.

N -- Rows marked with an upper case N report the number of students who responded to the relevant question.

Values for one or more cells were suppressed due to fewer than 10 youth in that category responding.



## Four Core Measures Data Summary - Part 2

		Perception of Parental Disapproval					Perception of Peer Disapproval				
		Alc	Tob	Mar	Pre	Vape	Alc	Tob	Mar	Pre	Vape
<b>Total Sample</b>	%	93.5	97.2	93.3	95.9	95.7	78.7	87.5	76.8	88.9	77.8
	n	2274	2354	2262	2318	2312	1912	2117	1856	2152	1875
	N	2432	2423	2424	2417	2417	2431	2420	2417	2420	2410
<b>Female</b>	%	95.4	98.4	93.8	97.1	96.2	78.6	88.3	75.4	90.5	75.7
	n	1108	1140	1087	1125	1111	916	1025	872	1052	874
	N	1162	1159	1159	1159	1155	1165	1161	1156	1163	1154
<b>Male</b>	%	92.7	96.6	93.9	95.8	95.8	79.8	88	79.6	88.8	80.7
	n	1060	1101	1071	1087	1089	910	999	904	1005	913
	N	1144	1140	1141	1135	1137	1140	1135	1135	1132	1132
<b>Not Enrolled</b>	%										
	n										
	N										
<b>4</b>	%										
	n										
	N										
<b>5</b>	%										
	n										
	N										
<b>6</b>	%										
	n										
	N										
<b>7</b>	%	94.6	97.7	97.3	96.6	97.7	88	91.7	91.6	91	89.1
	n	528	544	538	534	543	491	510	510	507	492
	N	558	557	553	553	556	558	556	557	557	552
<b>8</b>	%	93.2	96.9	95.5	96.3	96.3	82.8	90.8	84.8	90.2	84.3
	n	536	554	547	554	551	476	520	485	517	483
	N	575	572	573	575	572	575	573	572	573	573
<b>9</b>	%	95.4	97.7	93.4	95.4	94.9	76.3	87.7	75.4	89.8	75.8
	n	375	385	368	373	372	300	343	294	353	298
	N	393	394	394	391	392	393	391	390	393	393
<b>10</b>	%	94.7	97.9	93.6	96.3	96.5	76.1	86.1	70.9	88	72.1
	n	357	367	352	360	362	286	323	265	330	269
	N	377	375	376	374	375	376	375	374	375	373
<b>11</b>	%	91.1	96.6	87.7	96.3	94.7	68.3	84.2	58.6	86.1	63.7
	n	245	257	235	257	251	183	224	156	229	167
	N	269	266	268	267	265	268	266	266	266	262
<b>12</b>	%	87.6	94.7	81.8	92.9	88.8	64.3	74.1	48.2	84	59.2
	n	149	162	139	158	150	110	126	82	142	100
	N	170	171	170	170	169	171	170	170	169	169
<b>Post Secondary</b>	%										
	n										
	N										

**Note:**

% -- In this table, the rows marked with a percent sign (%) reflect percentages of youth who meet the criteria appropriate to the particular column for Past 30-Day Use, Perception of Risk, Perception of Parental Disapproval, and Perception of Peer Disapproval.

n -- Rows marked with a lower case n report the number of students who meet the criteria.

N -- Rows marked with an upper case N report the number of students who responded to the relevant question.

Values for one or more cells were suppressed due to fewer than 10 youth in that category responding.


## Additional Measures: Current Events

In this chapter, we will explore the optional current events modules that your organization opted to include. This section is customizable, and your organization chose the following options: COVID-19 Module and Racial Injustice Module.


# Current Events: COVID-19

No individuals are immune to the effects of the pandemic, including young people. This current event module explores the impacts of the global pandemic on your young people, including stress, coping mechanisms, and any shifts in their relational experiences (e.g. whether connections have decreased in number or in quality). This section will serve as an important way to contextualize the other measures within this report.


## Stress




## Engagement Coping




## Disengagement Coping




### Social (Dis)Connection




### COVID-19 Related Change in Relationship Quality




### COVID Context - School



### COVID Context - Out-of-School Time



### COVID-19 Specific Social-Emotional Support



## Current Events: Racial Injustice

The questions in this section ask young people about their role in eliminating injustices against people of color and the efficacy of those beliefs.

Following the deaths of George Floyd and Breonna Taylor (and many other people of color), there has been increased attention and unrest around the issue of racial injustice. In the questions below, we refer to this as the “racial injustice uprising”.

